1 2 3 4	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION		
5 6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff BROOKE WASHINGTON, AN INCOMPETE BY KIM WASHINGTON, HER GUARDIAN LITEM		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BROOKE WASHINGTON, an incompetent, BY KIM WASHINGTON,	CASE NO.: C 05-01160 SBA	
12	HER GUARDIAN AD LITEM,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
13	Plaintiff,		
14	V.		
15 16 17	SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, GALILEO HIGH SCHOOL, PAUL ROBERTSON in his capacity as Dean for Galileo High School and individually, MATTHEW MOORE, in his		
18	capacity as Galileo High School employee, LORETTA CALENDAR, in her capacity as Galileo High School employee, and		
19 20	individually, ANDREW BYNUM, in his capacity as Galileo High School student and employee, and DOES 1 - 10,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24	Sti	pulation	
25	Plaintiff Brooke Washington, through her attorneys of record, Gregory C. Simonian, Esq., of		
26	Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba and Vucinich, and defendants San Francisco Unified School District,		
27	Paul Robertson, Matthew Moore, and Loretta Calendar (hereinafter collectively referred to as		
28	Defendants), through their attorneys of record,	Ellen Shapiro, Esq., Deputy City Attorney and Dennis	

C:\Documents and
Settings\Workstation\Local
Settings\Temp\notes06E812\Stip
ulationOrder.wpd

## Case 4:05-cv-01160-SBA Document 15 Filed 10/06/05 Page 2 of 4

1	J. Herrera, Es	sq., City Attorney, hereby stipulate as follows:
2	1.	Plaintiff agrees to dismiss with prejudice the Eighth Cause Of Action (42 U.S.C. §
3		1983 - Denial of Liberty Interest) in the First Amended Complaint;
4	2.	Plaintiff agrees to dismiss with prejudice the Ninth Cause Of Action (Violation of
5		Title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12131 et seq.) in the First
6		Amended Complaint;
7	3.	Plaintiff agrees to dismiss with prejudice the Tenth Cause Of Action (Violation of
8		Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq.) in the First Amended Complaint;
9	4.	Each party agrees to bear their costs and attorney fees associated with the Eighth,
LO		Ninth, and Tenth Causes of Action in the First Amended Complaint;
L1	5.	Defendants agree to waive any claims of malicious prosecution and abuse of process
L2		against plaintiff related to the Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Causes of Action in the First
L3		Amended Complaint;
L4	6.	Defendants agree not to assert any statute of limitations defense that did not exist
L5		before the filing of the original complaint for damages in the Superior Court of
L6		California, County of San Francisco on December 23, 2004.
L7	\\\	
L8	\\\	
L 9	\\\	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		C:\Documents and

## The parties agree that after the dismissal with prejudice of the Eighth, Ninth, and 7. 1 Tenth Causes of Action in the First Amended Complaint, the district court may 2 remand the First Amended Complaint to the Superior Court of California, County of 3 San Francisco. The parties agree that they retain their right to request a jury trial of 4 5 the remaining causes of action upon this matter being remanded to state court. 6 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2005 9 Clapp, Moroney, Bellagamba and Vucinich 10 11 Gregory C. Simonian 12 G. Martin Velez Attorneys For Brooke Washington 13 14 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_\_ 2005 Dennis J. Herrera, City Attorney 15 16 Ellen Shapiro, Deputy City Attorney, for 17 defendants San Francisco Unified School District, Paul Robertson, Matthew Moore, and 18 Loretta Calendar 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 C:\Documents and

Case 4:05-cv-01160-SBA Document 15 Filed 10/06/05 Page 3 of 4

## Case 4:05-cv-01160-SBA Document 15 Filed 10/06/05 Page 4 of 4

1	Order
2	The parties having stipulated, the Court hereby orders that the Eighth, Ninth, and Tenth Causes
3	of Action in the First Amended Complaint are dismissed with prejudice, with each party to bear their
4	respective fees and costs associated with these causes of action. This Court declines to exercise
5	supplemental jurisdiction of the remaining state claims and remands this action to the Superior Court
6	of California, County of San Francisco.
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8 9 10	Dated: October 5, 2005  SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	C.\Doguments and